Affinity Probe and Quantitative Chemical Proteomics

LS 2020/05/30 Koichi Kamiya

Contents

- 1. Introduction Affinity probe and chemical proteomics
- 2. Approaches for "undruggable" proteins

3. Main paper

Wang, Y.; Dix, M. M.; Bianco, G.; Remberg, J. R.; Lee, H. Y.; Kalocsay, M.; Gygi, S. P.; Vite, G.; Lawrence, R. M.; Parker, C. G.; Cravatt, B. F. *Nat. Chem.* **2019**, *11*, 1113.

Contents

1. Introduction Affinity probe and chemical proteomics

2. Approaches for "undruggable" proteins

3. Main paper

Wang, Y.; Dix, M. M.; Bianco, G.; Remberg, J. R.; Lee, H. Y.; Kalocsay, M.; Gygi, S. P.; Vite, G.; Lawrence, R. M.; Parker, C. G.; Cravatt, B. F. *Nat. Chem.* **2019**, *11*, 1113.

Affinity Probe



- Chemically modified natural product
- Powerful tool for target identification of natural product

Tag and Linker



Ziegler, S.; Pries, V.; Hedberg, C.; Waldmann, H. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 2744.

Workflow for Target Identification



Target Identification of Yaku'amide B



Kitamura, K.; Itoh, H.; Sakurai, K.; Dan, S.; Inoue, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2018, 140, 12189.

Limitation



Reductive Dimethylation (ReDiMe)



Boersema, P. J.; Rajimakers, R.; Lemeer, S.; Mohammed, S.; Heck, A. J. R. Nat. Protoc. 2009, 4, 484.9

Tandem Mass Tag (TMT) (1)



Dayon, L.; Hainard, A.; Licker, V.; Turck, N.; Kuhn, K.; Hochstrasser, D. F.; Burkard, P. R.; Sanchez, J. *Anal. Chem.* **2008**, *80*, 1895.

10

Tandem Mass Tag (TMT) (2)



Dayon, L.; Hainard, A.; Licker, V.; Turck, N.; Kuhn, K.; Hochstrasser, D. F.; Burkard, P. R.; Sanchez, J. *Anal. Chem.* **2008**, *80*, 1895.

Stable Isotope Labeling using Amino Acids in Cell Culture (SILAC)



- Cells were pre-treated with isotopic amino acids and normal amino acids respectively.
- Thus, cells metabolically take in each amino acid to furnish isotopic proteins and normal proteins respectively.

Contents

1. Introduction Affinity probe and chemical proteomics

2. Approaches for "undruggable" proteins

3. Main paper

Wang, Y.; Dix, M. M.; Bianco, G.; Remberg, J. R.; Lee, H. Y.; Kalocsay, M.; Gygi, S. P.; Vite, G.; Lawrence, R. M.; Parker, C. G.; Cravatt, B. F. *Nat. Chem.* **2019**, *11*, 1113.

Prof. Benjamin F. Cravatt



- 1992: B.S. Biological Sciences, Stanford Univ. B.A. History, Stanford Univ.
- 1996: Ph.D. Macromolecular and Cellular Structure and Chemistry, The Scripps Research Institute (Prof. Dale Boger, Prof. Richard Lerner)
- 1996-2001: Assistant Professor, The Scripps Research Institute
- 2001-2004: Associate Professor, The Scripps Research Institute

2004-: Professor, The Scripps Research Institute

Address to "Undruggable" Proteins

Table 1 Comparison of the druggable genomes of selected eukaryotes				
	Homo sapiens	Drosophila melanogaster	Caenorhabditis elegans	Saccharomyces cerevisiae
Total number of predicted genes ^{8,9,16}	~30,000	13,601	18,424	6,241
Number of proteins in proteome*	21,688	13,849	17,946	6,127
Number of estimated druggable targets	3,051	1,714	2,267	508
Percentage that are predicted druggable targets	~10–14%	12%	12%	8%

Three hundred and seventy-six targets identified to bind rule-of-five-compliant drugs have had InterPro domains assigned. The prevalence of these InterPro domains in various genomes has then been determined. Twenty-three more bacterial and viral drug targets for which InterPro assignments could not be made have not been included in any of our analyses. *Data taken from InterPro, 29 October 2001.



Hopkins, A. L.; Groom, C. R. Nat. Rev. Drug Discov. 2002, 1, 727.

Covalent bond Formation by Cysteine Residue



- Erlanson, D. A.; Braisted, A. C.; Raphael, D. R.; Randal, M.; Stroud, R. M.; Gordon, E. M.; Wells, J. A. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA*. 2000, *97*, 9367.
- 2) Jöst, C.; Nitsche, C.; Scholz, T.; Roux, L.; Klein, C. D. J. Med. Chem. 2014, 57, 7590.

Proteome-Wide Covalent Ligand Discovery





Backus, K. M.; Correia, B. E.; Lum, K. M.; Forli, S.; Horning, B. D.; González-Páez, G. E.; Chatterjee, S.; Lanning, B. R.; Teijaro, J. R.; Olson, A. J.; Wolan, D. W.; Cravatt, B. F. *Nature* **2016**, *534*, 570.

¹⁷

Electrophilic Fragment Library (1)



Backus, K. M.; Correia, B. E.; Lum, K. M.; Forli, S.; Horning, B. D.; González-Páez, G. E.; Chatterjee, S.; Lanning, B. R.; Teijaro, J. R.; Olson, A. J.; Wolan, D. W.; Cravatt, B. F. *Nature* **2016**, *534*, 570.

Electrophilic Fragment Library (2)



Backus, K. M.; Correia, B. E.; Lum, K. M.; Forli, S.; Horning, B. D.; González-Páez, G. E.; Chatterjee, S.; Lanning, B. R.; Teijaro, J. R.; Olson, A. J.; Wolan, D. W.; Cravatt, B. F. *Nature* **2016**, *534*, 570.

Proteins Liganded by Fragment Electrophiles



 Non-DrugBank proteins were successfully detected as new proteins targets by covalent interaction.



 Non-covarent fragment-protein interaction can be profiled in the same wav?

Backus, K. M.; Correia, B. E.; Lum, K. M.; Forli, S.; Horning, B. D.; González-Páez, G. E.; Chatterjee, S.; Lanning, B. R.; Teijaro, J. R.; Olson, A. J.; Wolan, D. W.; Cravatt, B. F. Nature 2016, 534, 570. 20

Fragment-Based Ligand and Drug Discovery (FBLD)





- It is constructed by low-molecular weight compounds (<300 Da).
- Structurally small hit compounds can be efficiently optimized into more potent ligands.
- Can access to proteins that have proven difficult to target using high-throughput screening of complex compounds.



Protein identification and quantification



Parker, C. G.; Galmozzi, A.; Wang, Y.; Correia, B. E.; Sasaki, K.; Joslyn, C. M.; Kim, A. S.; 23 Cavallaro, C. L.; Lawrence, R. M.; Johnson, S. R.; Narvaiza, I.; Saez, E.; Cravatt, B. F. *Cell* **2017**, *168*, 527.

Contents

1. Introduction Affinity probe and chemical proteomics

2. Approaches for "undruggable" proteins

3. Main paper

Wang, Y.; Dix, M. M.; Bianco, G.; Remberg, J. R.; Lee, H. Y.; Kalocsay, M.; Gygi, S. P.; Vite, G.; Lawrence, R. M.; Parker, C. G.; Cravatt, B. F. *Nat. Chem.* **2019**, *11*, 1113.

Design of Enatiomeric Probe Pairs



Gel-Based Profiling



*representative stereoselective enantioprobe-protein interaction

Chemical Proteomics Experiment (1)



Method : ReDiMe for PBMCs and SILAC for HEK293T cells



• The *R* and *S* enantiomers have equivalent potential to preferentially enrich proteins

•Both cells showed consistent profile

An average value of >2.5-fold considered as 'stereoselective'

Chemical Proteomics Experiment (2) (R) (S) (R)



•The majority of proteins shows stereoselective interaction with only one of the enantioprobe pairs.

pairs

3

(R/S)-5

(*R/S*)-6

(R/S)-8

4

(R,R/S,S)-7



Light

Heavy

Probe

2

1

3

4

Targeted Protein by Enantioprobes



to target with small molecules

There are limited overlap of enantioprobe targets with proteins that were targeted by covalent fragments



Covalent and non-covalent fragments target different proteins

Interaction with Recombinantly Expressed Protein



Enantioprobe (*R*)-1 stereoselectively binds to SMYD3 and UNC119B

Competitive Experiment by Known Inhibitor





Structural Study SMYD3 Y257 SAM EPZ030456 (*R*)-1 T184 EPZ030456 PDB: 5CCM (R)-1 (R)-1 + DMSO Light Y257 (R)-1(*S*)-1 (R)-1 (R)-1 + EPZ031686 (S)-1 Heavy MS1 intensity Ratio 1.2 20 20 T184 R.DQYCFECDCFR.C a.a. 255-265

(*R*)-1 was found to bind at residue 255-267 (light red).

- Docking simulation reveal that (*R*)-1 overlapped with EPZ030456.
- On the other hand, phenyl ring of (S)-1 went towards threonine residue.
- •This affected the difference of affinity of each probe.

Other Blocked Proteins by Known Inhibitor



• Probe-protein interactions of SLC35F2 and PRCP were also blocked by EPZ031686.

 SLC35F2 and PRCP did not show evidence of stereoselective interactions with enantioprobes, which suggest that these proteins may specifically bind enantioprobes, with no stereochemical preference.

Alternative method was needed to address these types of interaction.

Multiplex Analysis of Enantioprobe-Protein Interaction



•The multiplexed method could find both proteins which have stereoselective and chemoselective interaction with enantioprobe.

Summary

- Quantitative chemical proteomics analysis using 'enantioprobes' discovered stereoselective probe-protein interaction.
- TMT-based multiplexed analysis can be used for discovery of stereoselective interaction without loss of sensitivity and accuracy.
- These multiplexing experiments provide additional SAR information by identifying proteins that interact in a chemoselective, rather than stereoselective, manner with the enantioprobes.